

# Efficient Inner-Product Encryption over NTRU Lattices

**Shantian Cheng**, Ivan Tjuawinata

Division of Mathematical Sciences  
School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences  
Nanyang Technological University

June 13, 2015 at CCCS, Guangzhou



# Outline

- 1 Background
  - IBE to PE
  - IPE Constructions
  - NTRU Lattices
- 2 Our Construction
- 3 Further Discussion
  - Theoretical Challenges
  - Practical Meanings



# Identity-Based Encryption (IBE)

## Definition: (Shamir-Crypto 1984)

- $\text{Setup}(N, q, \ell) \rightarrow \text{msk}, \text{pp}$
- $\text{Extract}(\text{pp}, \text{msk}, \text{id} \in I^\ell) \rightarrow \text{sk}_{\text{id}}$
- $\text{Enc}(\text{pp}, \text{id}' \in I^\ell, m) \rightarrow \text{ct}$
- $\text{Dec}(\text{pp}, \text{sk}_{\text{id}}, \text{ct}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} m, & \text{id} = \text{id}' \\ \perp, & \text{id} \neq \text{id}' \end{cases}$

## Properties:

- Point-to-point communication. (Email)
- Access to the encrypted data is all or nothing.



# New Challenge from Cloud Storage

In Cloud storage, data owners may share their outsourced data with a large number of users.

- The owner specifies a decryption policy that only individuals **who satisfy the policy** can decrypt. (attribute-based encryption)
- Or, the authorized users want to only retrieve **specific data files** they are interested in. (searchable keyword-based encryption)
  - Boolean combinations of keywords queries help enhance the efficiency of retrieving files from cloud. (**conjunction and disjunction**)



# Predicate Encryption (PE)

**Definition:** (Boneh and Waters-TCC 2007)

- $\text{Setup}(N, q, \ell) \rightarrow \text{msk}, \text{pp}$
- $\text{Extract}(\text{pp}, \text{msk}, \phi \in \Phi) \rightarrow \text{sk}_\phi$
- $\text{Enc}(\text{pp}, \sigma \in \Sigma, m) \rightarrow \text{ct}$
- $\text{Dec}(\text{pp}, \text{sk}_\phi, \text{ct}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} m, & \mathcal{P}(\phi, \sigma) = 1 \\ \perp, & \mathcal{P}(\phi, \sigma) \neq 1 \end{cases}$

**Properties:**

- Fine-grained access control over access to encrypted data.
- Expressive for different requirement. (IBE, ABE, etc.)



# Inner-Product Encryption (IPE)

**Definition:** (Katz et al.-Eurocrypt 2008)

- $\text{Setup}(N, q, \ell) \rightarrow \text{msk}, \text{pp}$
- $\text{Extract}(\text{pp}, \text{msk}, \vec{v} \in F^\ell) \rightarrow \text{sk}_{\vec{v}}$
- $\text{Enc}(\text{pp}, \vec{w} \in F^\ell, m) \rightarrow \text{ct}$
- $\text{Dec}(\text{pp}, \text{sk}_{\vec{v}}, \text{ct}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} m, & \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = 0 \\ \approx \text{uniformly random}, & \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle \neq 0 \end{cases}$

**Security:**

- (Weakly) attribute hiding: Indistinguishable between  $\text{Enc}(\text{pp}, \vec{w}_1, m_1)$  and  $\text{Enc}(\text{pp}, \vec{w}_2, m_2)$ .



# Inner-Product Encryption

- IPE supports disjunctive, conjunctive keyword searches.
- Search for keyword  $x = 7$  or  $x = 9 \iff \text{OR}_{7,9}(x) = 1$ 
  - $\iff p(x) = (x - 7)(x - 9) = x^2 - 16x + 63 = 0$
  - $\iff$  inner product of  $\vec{v} = (1, -16, 63)$ ,  $\vec{w} = (x^2, x, 1)$  is 0
- Search for keywords  $x_1 = 7$  and  $x_2 = 9$ 
  - $\iff \text{AND}_{7,9}(x_1, x_2) = 1$
  - $\iff p'(x) = c \cdot (x_1 - 7) + (x_2 - 9) = cx_1 + x_2 - (7c + 9) = 0$
  - $\iff$  inner product of  $\vec{v} = (c, 1, -(7c + 9))$ ,  $\vec{w} = (x_1, x_2, 1)$  is 0



# Constructions

Pairing on composite-number or prime order groups.

- Katz et al.-Eurocrypt 2008
- Okamoto and Takashima-Asiacrypt 2009

Lattice based on learning with errors (LWE) assumptions.

- Agrawal et al-Asiacrypt 2011
- Xagawa-PKC 2013





# Definition

- Hoffstein et al.-ANTS 1998.
- $N = 2^m$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^N + 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_q = \mathcal{R} \bmod q$ .

## Definition

The NTRU lattice associated to  $h \in \mathcal{R}_q$  and  $q$  is

$$\Lambda_{h,q} = \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{R}^2 : u + v * h = 0 \bmod q\}.$$

$\Lambda_{h,q}$  is a full-rank lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^{2N}$ .

# Assumptions

- **Decisional Small Polynomial Ratio (DSPR) Assumption.**  
 $h = g * f^{-1} \sim U(\mathcal{R}_q)$  given  $f, g \leftarrow$  discrete Gaussian distribution over  $\mathcal{R}_q$ .
- **Decisional Ring-LWE Assumption.**  
 $(d_i, d_i * r + e_i) \sim U(\mathcal{R}_q \times \mathcal{R}_q)$  given  $d_i \leftarrow U(\mathcal{R}_q)$  and  $r, e_i$  are small polynomials.

# Trapdoor Generation and Gaussian Sampling

Comparison between Ducas et al.-Asiacrypt 2014 and Gentry et al.-STOC 2008.

- $\text{Master\_Keygen}(N, q) \rightarrow h \sim U(\mathcal{R}_q), \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2N \times 2N}$  (basis of  $\Lambda_{h,q}$ )
  - $\text{TrapGen}(n, m, q) \rightarrow A \sim U(\mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}), \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$  (basis of  $\Lambda_q^\perp(\mathbf{A})$ ).
- $\text{Gaussian\_Sampler}(\mathbf{B}, \sigma, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \sim D_{\Lambda(\mathbf{B}), \sigma, \mathbf{c}}$ 
  - $\text{SampleD}(\mathbf{B}, \sigma, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \sim D_{\Lambda(\mathbf{B}), \sigma, \mathbf{c}}$



# Anticirculant Matrix

- Let  $f = f_0 + f_1x + \dots + f_{N-1}x^{N-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ . The anticirculant matrix of  $f$  is

$$\mathcal{A}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & -f_{N-1} & \cdots & -f_1 \\ f_1 & f_0 & \cdots & -f_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{N-1} & f_{N-2} & \cdots & f_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $\mathcal{A}(f) + \mathcal{A}(g) = \mathcal{A}(f + g)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f) \times \mathcal{A}(g) = \mathcal{A}(f \times g)$ .

# Outline

- 1 Background
  - IBE to PE
  - IPE Constructions
  - NTRU Lattices
- 2 Our Construction
- 3 Further Discussion
  - Theoretical Challenges
  - Practical Meanings



Setup( $N, q, \ell$ )

$k := \lceil \lg q \rceil$  and inner-product predicates space  $\mathcal{R}_q^\ell$ .

- 1  $\left( h, \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(g) & \mathcal{A}(G) \\ \mathcal{A}(-f) & \mathcal{A}(-F) \end{bmatrix} \right) \leftarrow \text{Master\_Keygen}(N, q)$ .
  - Requirement:  $\|(f, g)\| \approx 1.17\sqrt{q}$ ,  $h = g * f^{-1}$  and  $f * G - g * F = q$ ;
  - Property:  $\mathbf{B}$  is a basis of NTRU lattice  $\Lambda_{h,q}$  with  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\| \approx 1.17\sqrt{q}$ .
- 2  $d_{i,\gamma} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{R}_q$ , where  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \gamma \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ .  $u \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{R}_q$ .

Output pp  $\leftarrow (h, \{d_{i,\gamma}\}, u)$  and msk =  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Extract(pp, msk,  $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{R}_q^\ell$ )

- 1  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$ . For each  $v_i \in [0, q-1]^N$ , compute the binary decomposition of  $v_i$  as  $v_i = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} v_{i,\gamma} \cdot 2^\gamma$ , where  $v_{i,\gamma} \in \{0, 1\}^N$ .

- 2  $d_{\vec{v}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} v_{i,\gamma} * d_{i,\gamma} \in \mathcal{R}_q$ .

- 3  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \leftarrow$

$$(u, 0, 0) - \text{Gaussian\_Sampler} \left( \begin{bmatrix} g & G & 0 \\ -f & -F & -h^{-1} * d_{\vec{v}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma, (u, 0, 0) \right).$$

- Requirement:  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \ln 2}{2\pi^2}} \cdot 1.17 \sqrt{q}$ .
- Property:  $s_1 + s_2 * h + s_3 * d_{\vec{v}} = u$ ,  $\|(s_1, s_2, s_3)\| \leq \sigma \sqrt{3N}$ .

Output  $\text{sk}_{\vec{v}} \leftarrow (s_2, s_3)$ .



# Enc(pp, $\vec{w} \in \mathcal{R}_q^\ell, m$ )

- 1 Choose  $r, e_0, e_1, e_{i,\gamma} \xleftarrow{\$} \{-1, 0, 1\}^N$  and  $g \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{R}_q$
- 2  $c_0 \leftarrow r * h + e_0$ .
- 3  $c_1 \leftarrow r * u + e_1 + m \cdot \lfloor q/2 \rfloor$ .
- 4  $c_{i,\gamma} \leftarrow r * (d_{i,\gamma} + 2^\gamma \cdot w_i * g) + e_{i,\gamma}$ . (Here  $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$ )
  - $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} (v_{i,\gamma} \cdot 2^\gamma) * w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} v_{i,\gamma} * (2^\gamma \cdot w_i)$ .
  - Requirement:  $\ell \cdot k$  less than any polynomial of  $n$ . (Arora and Ge-ICALP 2011).
  - Property: Based on Ring-LWE, the distribution of  $c_0, c_1, c_{i,\gamma}$  is indistinguishable from uniform. (Weakly attribute hiding)

Output ct  $\leftarrow (c_0, c_1, \{c_{i,\gamma}\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \gamma \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}})$ .





Dec(pp, sk $\vec{v}$ , ct)

- 1 Compute

$$c_{\vec{v}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} v_{i,\gamma} * c_{i,\gamma}.$$

- 2 Let  $c := c_1 - s_2 * c_0 - s_3 * c_{\vec{v}}$ .

Output the vector  $\left\lfloor \frac{c}{q/2} \right\rfloor$ .

**Remark:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= m \cdot \lfloor q/2 \rfloor + \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle * s_3 * r * g \\
 &+ e_1 + r * s_1 - s_2 * e_0 - s_3 * \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} v_{i,\gamma} * e_{i,\gamma}}_{\text{error term} < q/5}
 \end{aligned}$$



# Parameters

Asymptotically:

- We require  $q = O((\ell \cdot N^{1.5} \cdot \log N)^{2+\epsilon})$ . Public key size=ciphertext size =  $O(\ell N \log^2 q)$ .
- $q$  and public parameter are  $N$  times smaller than Xagawa-PKC 2013.

Low dimensions:

Security parameter $\lambda$	80	192
Root Hermite factor $\gamma$	1.0055	1.0029
Polynomial degree $N$	2048	4096
Modulus $q$	$2^{66}$	$2^{70}$
Dimension $\ell$	5	6
Public key size	8 MB	16 MB
Master key size	128 KB	256 KB
Private key size	64 KB	128 KB
Ciphertext size	8 MB	16 MB



# Outline

- 1 Background
  - IBE to PE
  - IPE Constructions
  - NTRU Lattices
- 2 Our Construction
- 3 Further Discussion
  - Theoretical Challenges
  - Practical Meanings



# Possible Ways to Improve

New trapdoor generation and preimage sampling algorithms.

- Ring-based analogue of *simpler, tighter, faster, smaller* new trapdoors from Micciancio and Peikert-Eurocrypt 2012.
- To our best knowledge, no ring-based or NTRU adaptation of SampleD.

Binary expansion to other number systems.

- Factorial number system ( $n!$ ), primorial number system ( $p!$ ).  
(tradeoff between  $q$  and size of  $pp, ct$ )

# Implementation of Lattice-Based Cryptography





NTRU encryption has been included in

- IEEE 1363.1-2008
- ASC (Accredited Standards Committee) ANSI X9.98-2010.

Generalize NTRU to support more functionalities (IBE, PE, etc.).



# References

-  Agrawal, S., Freeman, D., Vaikuntanathan, V.: Functional Encryption for Inner Product Predicates from Learning with Errors. In: ASIACRYPT 2011, LNCS, vol. 7073, pp. 21–40. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
-  Ducas, L., Lyubashevsky, V., Prest, T.: Efficient Identity-Based Encryption over NTRU Lattices. In: ASIACRYPT 2014, LNCS, vol. 8874, pp. 22–41. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)
-  Gentry, C., Peikert, C., Vaikuntanathan, V.: Trapdoors for hard lattices and new cryptographic constructions. pp. 197–206. STOC '08, ACM, New York, NY, USA (2008)
-  Katz, J., Sahai, A., Waters, B.: Predicate encryption supporting disjunctions, polynomial equations, and inner products. Journal of Cryptology 26(2), 191–224 (2013)

# THANK YOU

## THANK YOU!

